

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/657,473	09/07/2000	Jean-Francois Lucien Maisonneuve	B45069-1	1711	
20462	7590 12/07/2001				
SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 P. O. BOX 1539			EXAMINER		
			ZEMAN, ROBERT		
KING OF PRU	JSSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1645		
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2001	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

f`		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/657,47	3	MAISONNEUVE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert A		1645				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the	cover sneet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	September .	<u> 2000</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
<i>,</i> —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or	election req	uirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) 🗌 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner.				
40)[] =	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	Inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		don 25 11 5 C	(a) (d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachmen	_	•						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/657,473

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3 and 5-16, drawn to vaccines containing a single MOMP from Chlamydia, a method of producing said vaccines and methods for the prophylactic use of said vaccines, classified in class 424, subclass 263.1.
- II. Claims 4-16, drawn to vaccines containing a multiple MOMP from different Chlamydia serovants, a method of producing said vaccines and methods for the prophylactic use of said vaccines, classified in class 424, subclass 263.1.
- III. Claim 17, drawn to a method of inducing heterotypic prophylaxis of *Chlamydia* induced infertility, classified in class 424, subclass 282.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are separate and distinct as they comprise differing biochemical and immunological entities having differing properties and/or uses. Invention I is drawn to vaccines comprising a single MOMP, whereas Invention II is drawn to vaccines comprising multiple MOMPs.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/657,473

Art Unit: 1645

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the vaccines of Inventions I can be used in other methods antibody purification.

Inventions I and II are separate and distinct from Invention III as they are drawn to differing methods having different steps and leading to differing results.

Inventions I and II are related to Invention III as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the vaccines of Inventions I and II can be used in other methods antibody purification.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Zeman whose telephone number is (703) 308-7991.

Application/Control Number: 09/657,473

Art Unit: 1645

The examiner can be reached between the hours of 7:30 am and 4:00 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Donna Wortman, Primary Examiner can be reached at (703) 308-1032.

The fax number for this Art Unit is (703) 305-7401.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1032 or the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached at (703) 308-3909.

DONNAMORTMAN PRIMAR / COMMINER